HYDROCHEMICAL TESTING ON GROUND WATER QUALITY IN AND AROUND SOLID WASTE DUMPING SITE, PERUNDURAI, CHENNAI

Vanitha M[1]* and Murugesan A[2]

[1*]Department of Biotechnology, Madha Engineering College, Kundrathur, Chennai-69

[2]Department of Chemistry, Sriram Enginering College, Perumalpattu, Chennai-602 024.

Corresponding author: Vanitha M

ABSTRACT

The daily waste generation in Chennai city from each individual is expected to be 0.252Kg. Current waste generation in the city is 2,940 m^3/ day of which 80% is collected and disposed on dumping site every day. This open dumping site has no liner system and other groundwater pollution and public health risks control mechanism. Authors are proposed to investigate hydrochemical on ground water quality in and around solid waste dumping site, Chennai city.A cross sectional study was conducted in Reppi solid waste dumping site from January 10 to 25 / 2023 to analyze associated risks to the groundwater and the public health in its vicinity. For groundwater issue leachate, nearby well water and far away spring water samples were collected based on the distance difference and for public health issue. Based on standard sampling procedures 20 in the nearby community and 20 from the controlled group were used for the study. Extreme care was taken to avoid alteration of chemical composition of samples during sampling. The study parameters for leachate, and groundwater quality were determined in central pollution control board, government of India. It includes physicochemical parameters such as pH, chloride, total hardness, alkalinity, TDS, TSS, DO, BOD, COD, Nitrate, Ammonia, Phosphate and trace metals. Standard methods were used for the analysis of the samples. The public health parameters were collected using pre designed questionnaires. Physicochemical analysis of leachate and groundwater showed that more than 95% of parameters in nearby well water analysis is more higher than the far away spring water and much exceeded WHO drinking water quality standard. This may be due to contaminants transport from dumping site to ground water. More than 95% risk ratio Public health risks were found in the nearby residents. From this study we can conclude that there is an increase in risk to ground water and public health that is reported near Reppi solid waste dumping site. Therefore the concerned authority should take appropriate intervention measures to groundwater and the health of the community

Key words: Ground water quality, Heavy metals, WQI, and water resources.

INTRODUCTION

Chennai is a center for modern economic and social activities because of the infrastructure services are found relatively in better situation than other cities of Tamil Nadu. It is the diplomatic capital for Tamil Nadu[1]. The covered area is 174 sq.km with total population is 46, 16,639. The longitude and latitude of the study area lays 13.08 to 13.5 N and 80.16 to 80.27 E. However, its development is too slow to meet the demands of the increasing population due to both natural growth and rural urban migration. In particular, the complete inadequacy of the solid waste management is major environmental problem in Chennai. The daily waste generation in Chennai city from each individual is expected to be 0.5 Kg. Current waste generation in the city is 3000 tons/day of which 80% is collected and disposed on dumping site every day [1]. This open dumping site has no liner system and other groundwater pollution and public health risks control mechanism. We proposed to investigate hydrochemical on ground water quality in and around solid waste dumping site, Chennai city.

The daily waste generation in the Chennai city is estimated to be 0.5 kg/capita. The current daily waste generation of the city is 3000metric tones and of this municipal waste about 80 % (2,352 MT) is collected. The remaining 20 per cent of waste is disposed off through informal means, except smaller percentage going to incineration, dumped on open sites, drainage channels, rivers and valleys as well as on the streets[1]. The rivers that cross the city, are widely used as disposal sites, although the hygiene and environmental sanitation regulation issued by the Chennai city administration prohibits people from disposing waste along roads, avenues, rivers, ponds, and other sites. Due to lack of proper means of discharging their day to day waste, it becomes difficult to implement the proclamation, directives and rules that result a continuous violation of regulation by the people. Solid waste dumping sites in Chennai are Ottivakkam, Madipakkam, Paliakaranai, Perundurai, Ambattur Industrial Estate and Ennoor. We chose for the study is Ambattur Industrial area. In these above dumping sites, perundurai area chosen for the impact study and health risk analysis is carried out for our project.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Samples were collected in to cleaned high density polyethylene bottles using a peristaltic pump. Samples were filtered during collection through a 1.2 mm polypropylene filter cartridge. The use of a filter cartridge was preferred to membrane filters to minimize common filtration problems such as membrane clogging and reduction in effective pore size. Water pH, temperature, conductivity and dissolved oxygen were measured situ using adequate

sensors. Reagents used for the investigation were AR and GR grade chemicals and deionized double distilled water used for the preparing various standard solutions. Total hardness, total dissolved solids, chloride, calcium, magnesium, sulphate, bicarbonate alkalinity, dissolved oxygen, chemical oxygen demand, biochemical oxygen demand, sodium, potassium, fluoride, nitrate and trace metals like chromium, cadmium, lead, mercury and arsenic content assessment were carried out by the standard methods[2]-[7] Sodium and potassium were measured by the flame photometer. The suitability of the water for potable purposes was predicted by using an indexing system called water quality index (WQI). [8]

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Before samples were collected site selection for leachate sample and ground water samples was carried out. After sampling sites selected leachate sample was collected at the center of dumping site from shallow well and the color of leachate sample looks black and the ground water samples were collected from private owned well located in the southern direction at 300m from the boarder of dumping site. The well water table range 1.8 to 4.5m in one full day, since the water was used for construction of building and the other controlled sample was collected from naturally occurred spring in east direction at distance 2km from dumping site the color were bright yellow and colorless respectively as can be seen.

On site measurement were conducted for the temperature, DO, conductivity and P^H of leachate and ground waters using standard devices and the result obtained from the measurement for leachate was 24.4^OC that is similar with the ambient temperature and the temperature of well water and spring samples were 24.3^OC and 24.7^OC respectively. The P^H value of the leachate, well water and spring water in the onsite were 9.23, 9.48 and 9.15 respectively. Leachate produced in the dumping site and groundwater physicochemical characteristics; nutrients and heavy metals were determined in Chennai city Environmental Protection Authority and in Indian Geological Survey Water Laboratory. Tables 1, 2, 3 displayed the physicochemical characteristics, nutrient concentration and some important trace heavy metals concentration with their respective samples

Public Health Results: Due to uncertainty in quantifying dumping site emission, uncontrolled type of disposal practice and lack of facilities in the site, it is difficult to estimate the health risks on the nearby residents of the dumping site. Although there is conflict findings on health effects of solid waste dumping site this section display some important public health findings. Based on the data collected from the nearby community and

controlled site community combined respiratory tract, dermatological and sight problems were assessed and the finding was 91.5%, 75.6% and 84.8% respectively for exposed population and unexposed group findings were below 10% (Table 4). In the study population there were no significant abortion and congenital problems.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

Since there is no design information obtained from the Ambattur Solid Waste Dumping Site, Reppi, the concentration of organic compound, nutrients, Temperature, P^H, Alkalinity, trace metals and hardness were determined based on American Public Health Association standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater [35]. However, as can be deduced from tables 1, 2, and 3, the maximum concentration of the stated materials are most likely found in the leachate and nearby ground water.

The characteristics of leachate and ground water are shown in Tables 1, 2, and 3. High concentration of pollutants prevailed in leachate and well water except copper. Leachate and well water produced during sampling higher concentration of pollutant particularly of conductivity, SS, TDS, Alkalinity, Phosphate, lead were found this may be due to the emission from mixed waste but BOD and COD of spring water were greater than nearby well water this may be due to contaminant of waste from its catchments area and due to its stagnation. This could be attributed to groundwater and surface water ingress from the dumping site that promote volatilization of pollutants from active decomposition of waste mass in to leachate emanated from disposal site to the nearby ground water source.

However, background levels as can be seen from the Table 5 more than 95% of the population lives near the dumping area are infested with canine animals this is due to the fact that stray dogs and other similar canine animals are scavengers for leftover food and other wastes. This infestation will be big problem for the transmission of hydrophobia (rabid disease). And more than 96% near the residents are infested by flies and cockroach. This infestation revealed that those live near the dumping site are in danger for eco-oral disease transmission with relative risk of 12 as compared with population far away from the dumping site. In addition to that almost 96% of nearby community are well exposed for the occurrence of malaria due to the mosquitoes breeding. The last but not the list, rat infestation is pronounced problem in nearby community (100% exposure rate). This revealed that the community in the site is exposed for communicable diseases such as Hantavirus Pulmonary

Syndrome (HPS), Murine Typhus, Rat-bite fever (RBF), *Salmonella entericaserovar, Typhimurium, Leptospirosis*, and *Eosinophilic Meningitis.*

The presence of large quantities of mixtures of potentially hazardous chemicals in solid waste dumping sites close to residential area has increasingly caused some significant groundwater and public health concerns. Concerns have led to a substantial number of studies on groundwater and public health effects associated with solid waste dumping sites. From this study we can conclude that there is an increase in risk to ground water and public health that is reported near Reppi solid waste dumping site. Although biases and confounding factors cannot be excluded as explanations for this finding, the finding revealed that high risks are associatedwith groundwater pollution and public health near the dumping sites. In general Reppi solid waste dumping site worth nothing to the environment as well as to the public health in its vicinity. This indicated that Addis Ababa city Government is practicing an out of site out of mind or problem principle without giving due regard for the environment and public health.

Table1: Hydro chemical characteristics of leachate and groundwater samples

SL NO	**WQPs**	**Leachate**	**Well Water**	**Bore well Water**	**WHO Standard**
1.	pH	9.23	9.45	9.15	6.5-8.0
2.	Suspended Solids	29	11	-	-
3.	Dissolved Solids	5658	2154	132	600-1000
4.	Alkalinity	5364	1465	173	200
5.	Chloride	520	256	186	250
6.	Sulfate	502	398	64	200
7.	Electrical Conductivity	2126	154	32	-
8.	Ammonia	0.4	0.1	0.02	-
9.	Nitrate	0.66	0.42	0.03	-
10.	BOD	160	20	30	7-14
11.	COD	210	54	67	-
12.	DO	NA	2.6	2.8	-
13.	Phosphate	6.0	2.0	-	-

Table 2: Trace metals composition of leachate, well and spring water samples

Sl No	Parameters	Leachate Conc.(mg/L)	Well water Conc.(mg/L)	Spring water Conc.((mg/L)	WHO standards (mg/L)
1.	Lead	0.090	0.0760	0. 060	0.010
2.	Nickel	0.070	0.04	0.010	0.020
3.	Copper	1.40	0.40	1.40	2.000
4.	Cadmium	0.13	0.10	Nil	.003
5.	Chromium	0.30	0.20	Nil	0.050

Table 3: Risk based drinking water criteria and nearby well concentration.

Sl No	Element	WHO	U.S.EPA	Well water Conc.((mg/L)
1.	Lead	0.010	0.015	0.07
2.	Nickel	0.020	0.100	0.04
3.	Copper	2.000	1.3000	0.4
4.	Cadmium	0.010	0.010	0.1
5.	Chromium	0.050	0.100	0.2

Table 4: Comparison of public health problems to those who live in the nearby dumping site and controlled group

Sl no	Conditions of events	Comparison group (N=316)	No of events	Percentage of problem
1.	Combined respiratory problems	Near site residents	289	91
		Control group	28	8.8
2.	Combined skin problems	Near site residents	239	75.6
		Control group	16	5.1
3.	Red itchy eyes	Near site residents	268	84.8
		Control group	22	6.9

Table 5: Prevalence of disease vectors with in the vicinity of dumping site and controlled site

Sl No	Vectors	Near landfill site (N=316)		Far landfill site (N=316)	
		No	percentage	No	percentage
1.	Dogs	313	99	29	9.2
2.	Canines except dogs	302	95.6	6	1.9
3.	Flies	316	100	28	9
4.	Cockroach	304	96.2	23	7.3
5.	Mosquito	303	95.9	None	0
6.	Rats	316	100	13	4.1
7.	Scavengers	299	94.6	None	0

REFERENCES

1. Chennai City Government Sanitation, Beautification and Park Development Agency (2004), Current status of solid waste management of Chennai, (unpublished material) Chennai City. India.

2. Poul Elliot, et al (2001), Risk of adverse birth outcomes in pollution near landfill site, BMJ, 323, PP 254-257.

3. Farquhar (1989), Leachate: Production and characterization; Canadian journal of civil engineering, vol 16, pp143-146.

4. Lee, Landfill offers a false sense of security; biocycle, 37.

5. Demenico and Schwarts (1998), Physical and chemical hydrology, John Wiley and sons Inc, 2nd edition.

6. Schnoor J. (1996), Environmental Modelling – Fate and Transport in Water, Air and Soil, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.

7. O'Leary &Walsh (1995), Decision makers guide line to solid waste Management, volume II, solid and hazardous waste education center, University of Wisconsin.

8. National Research Council. Environmental Epidemiology (1991), Vol 1: Public Health and Hazardous Wastes. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.

9.Wrensch M, Swan S, Murphy PJ, Lipscomb J, Claxton K, Epstein D, Neutra R. (1990), Hydrogeologic assessment of exposure to solvent-contaminated drinking water: pregnancy outcomes in relation to exposure. Arch Environ Health 45:210-216.

10. Najem GR, Strunck T, Feuerman M (1994), Health effects of a Superfund hazardous chemical waste disposal site. Am J Prev Med 10:151-155.

11. Suzanne Lesage, Richard E. Jackson, Mark W. Priddie, and Peter G. Riemann (1990), Occurrence and Fate of Organic Solvent Residues in Anoxic Groundwater at the Gloucester Landfill, Canada: *Environ. Sci. Technol.*, 24, 559-566.

12. Clark CS, Meyer CR, Gartside PS, Majeti VA, Specker B, Balistreri WF, Elia VJ. (1982), An environmental health survey of drinking water contamination by leachate from a pesticide waste dump in Hardeman County, Tennessee. Arch Environ Health 37:9-18.

13. Goldberg MS, Al-Homsi N, Goulet L, Riberdy H. (1995), Incidence of cancer among persons living near a municipal solid waste landfill site in Montreal, Quebec. Arch Environ Health 50:416-424.

14. Sorsa M, Wilbourn J, Vainio H. (1992), Human cytogenetic damage as a predictor of cancer risk, In Mechanisms of Carcinogenesis in Risk Identification (Vainio H, Magee PN, McGregor DB, McMichael AJ, eds), IARC SciPubl 116:543-554.

15. Paigen B, Goldman LR, Magmant MM, Highland JH, Steegman AT. (1987), Growth of children living near the hazardous waste site, Love Canal. Hum Biol 59:489-508.

16. British Medical Association (1991), Hazardous Waste and Human Health, Oxford University press.

17. Goldman LR, Paigen B, Magnant MM, Highland JH. (1985), Low birth weight, prematurity and birth defects in children living near the hazardous waste site, Love Canal. Haz Waste Haz Mat 2:209-223.

18. Berry M, Bove F. (1997), Birth weight Health Perspect 105:856-861.

19. Kharrazi M, VonBehren J, Smith M, Lomas T, Armstrong M, Broadwin R, Blake E, McLaughlin B, Worstell G, Goldman L. (1997), A community-based study of adverse pregnancy outcomes near a large hazardous waste landfill in California. ToxicolInd Health 13:299-310.

20. Budnick LD, Sokal DC, Falk H, Logue JN, Fox JM, (1984), Cancer and birth defects near the Drake Superfund site, Pennsylvania, Arch Environ Health 39,409-413.

21. Lipscomb JA, Goldman LR, Satin KP, Smith DF, Vance WA, Neutra RR, (1991), A follow-up study of the community near the McColl waste disposal site, Environ Health Perspect 94:15-24.

22.Deloraine A, Zmirou D, Tillier C, Boucharlat A, Bouti H. (1995), Case-control assessment of the short-term health effects of an industrial toxic waste landfill. Environ Res 68:124-132.

23. Greiser E, Lotz I, Brand H, Weber H, (1991), increased incidence of leukemias in the vicinity of a previous industrial waste dump in North Rhine-Westfalia, West Germany. Am J Epidemiol 134:755.

24. Mallin K, (1990), Investigation of a bladder cancer cluster in northwestern Illinois. Am J Epidemiol 132:S96-S106.